THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE V. ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION No.22405 OF 2005

Dated: 14-11-2005

Between

Sri. K.N. Murthy S/o. Late Sri K.P.Raju, Chief Patron of Sri Kanakadurga Seva Samithi (Regd.No.1063/2001) BHEL Township, R.C.Puram, Hyderabad – 32 and Ex-General Manager (P&A) BHEL, R.C.Puram, Hyderabad R/o. Plot No.9, Road No.5, Trimurthi Colony, Mahendra Hills, East Maredpally, Secunderabad – 26.

…PETITIONER

AND

The Superintendent of Police, Medak Districgt at Sanga Reddy and others.

…RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a Writ of Mandamus to declare the action of the respondents 4 and 5 as illegal and arbitrary and to further direct the respondents 1 and 2 to take action against respondents 4 and 5 and others for burning the Samithi name boards and to further direct the respondent No.3 not to operate the bank account of the Samithi by respondents 4 and 5 until the petitioner is included as one of the signatory by excluding respondent No.5 in the interest of justice.

During the course of the arguments learned counsel for the petitioner requested to dispose of the writ petition itself by directing the Deputy Superintendent of Police,

Ramachandrapuram Police Station and the Superintendent of Police, Medak District to consider and dispose of the representation dated 17.09.2005 filed by the petitioner.

A perusal of the said representation goes to show that it was addressed to the District Superintendent of Police, Ramachandrapuram while marking copies to the Superintendent of Police, Medak District; the Executive Director, BHEL and the General Manager (Personnel & Administrator), BHEL stating that it is noticed that two boards containing the names of the members of the Executive Committee of Sri Kanaka Durga Seva Samithi, one in Telugu and the other in English which were displayed, with all other boards containing spiritual messages to the devotees, were removed without the knowledge of the under signed and the same are not seen in the premises.

This act of removing the boards and misplacing them is with certain intentions behind. But no criminal offence said to have been committed has been alleged in the said representation.

As the said representation goes to show that no criminal offence is said to have been committed as alleged, no direction can be issued by this Court to the respondents to take appropriate action on the representation dated 17.09.2005.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

_____

V. ESWARAIAH, J

November 14, 2005

DSK